1	BEFORE THE	MIGGION
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COM	MMISSION
3	IN THE MATTER OF:	)
4	CITY OF CHICAGO, ILLINOIS,	)
5	vs.	) No. T08-0046
6	CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC.	)
7	Petition for authorization to reconstruct the Laramie Avenue	)
8	Bridge from Roosevelt Road to Lexington Street, an elevated	)
9	viaduct and roadway located  over the tracks of the CSX	)
10	Transportation, Inc., in the City of Chicago, County of	)
11	Cook and State of Illinois and for funding from the Grade	) )
12	Crossing Protection Fund.	)
13	Chicago, Illinois	
14	February 10, 2009	
15	Met, pursuant to notice, at Chi	cago.
16	BEFORE:	
17	LATRICE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE, Admi	nistrative Law Judge
18	APPEARANCES:	
19	CITY OF CHICAGO, by MR. JACK A. PACE	
20	30 North LaSalle Street Room 900	
21	Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 744-6997	
22	for the City of Chicago;	

1	FEDOTA CHILDERS, PC, by MR. PAUL D. STREICHER
2	70 West Madison Street
3	Suite 3900 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 853-9627
4	for CSX Transportation, Inc.;
5	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION, by MR. BRIAN VERCRUYSSE
6	527 East Capitol Avenue Springfield, Illinois 62701
7	(312) 636-7760 for Staff.
8	
9	ALSO PRESENT:
10	Mr. Dan Burke, IDOT
11	CILL TWAN DEDODETING COMPANY by
12	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Alisa A. Sawka, CSR License No. 084-004588
13	License No. 004-004500
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1			<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>I</u>	<u>E X</u>		
2	T.T. 1	<b>D</b> '	G.	Re-		
3	Witnesses:	Direct	Cross	airect	cross	Examiner
4	None.					
5						
6						
7						
8						
9		<u>E</u>	<u>X</u> <u>H</u> <u>I</u>	<u>B</u> <u>I</u> <u>T</u> <u>S</u>	<u>5</u>	
10	Number	<u>For</u>	Identi	ificatio	on_	<u> In Evidence</u>
11	None.					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

- JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: By the power vested
- 2 in me by the State of Illinois and the Illinois
- 3 Commerce Commission, I now call Case No. T08-0046.
- 4 This is in the matter of a petition for authorization
- 5 to reconstruct the Laramie Avenue Bridge from
- 6 Roosevelt Road to Lexington Street in the City of
- 7 Chicago.
- 8 May I have appearances, please.
- 9 MR. PACE: On behalf of the City of Chicago
- 10 Jack Pace -- It's spelled P-a-c-e -- senior counsel,
- 11 30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 900, Chicago, Illinois
- 12 60602. My phone number is (312) 744-6997. And with
- 13 me today is Mr. Dan Burke of the Chicago Department
- 14 of Transportation.
- MR. STREICHER: Good morning, your Honor. My
- 16 name is Paul Streicher, S-t-r-e-i-c-h-e-r. I
- 17 represent CSX Transportation, Inc. My office address
- is 70 West Madison Street, Suite 3900, Chicago. My
- 19 office telephone is area code (312) 853-9627.
- 20 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Thank you. Good morning.
- 21 Representing Staff for the Commission, Brian
- 22 Vercruysse V-e-r-c-r-u-y-s-s-e. Address at 527 East

- 1 Capitol Avenue, Springfield, 62701. Phone number
- 2 (312) 636-7760. Thank you.
- JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Okay. I believe this
- 4 is a status hearing with which you all are planning
- 5 to update the Commission on plans for this particular
- 6 project.
- 7 So if you want to proceed, Mr. Pace,
- 8 you may.
- 9 MR. PACE: Certainly.
- 10 Your Honor, just briefly -- and I'll
- 11 let Mr. Burke enter more detail and answer any
- 12 questions that the Commission or anyone else has.
- I want to just say that we have had a
- 14 major breakthrough in the planning and in terms of
- 15 utility relocation issues that at the last status
- 16 hearing Mr. Naragreen mentioned were the ones that
- 17 were -- they were working on at the time. So since
- 18 that last status hearing, those issues have been
- 19 resolved. And, therefore, we are moving forward on
- 20 the plans for the project.
- In that regard, we have executed on
- 22 behalf of the City of Chicago a Preliminary

- 1 Engineering Agreement that was submitted to us by the
- 2 Railroad, and we sent it back for their signature.
- 3 That document should allow the Railroad, at this
- 4 point, to review on a preliminary basis the plans
- 5 that we are working on.
- In that regard, I will let Mr. Burke
- 7 give you some milestones that we have in our planning
- 8 project -- proceed our plans. I would say that we
- 9 are looking forward to bidding out the project in
- June of 2009. So we would be looking and working
- 11 towards a Commission order sometime before that to
- 12 allow us to bid the project in June.
- 13 MR. DAN BURKE: Yes, certainly, as Mark alluded
- 14 to we've solved a number of the open issues on the
- 15 project. The design team is proceeding in earnest.
- 16 The milestone dates from our latest
- 17 schedule are March 13 for a submittal of prefinal
- 18 plans to all the involved agencies for review and
- 19 comments -- corporation and turnaround of those
- 20 comments. The final plan submittal would be -- the
- 21 final plan submittal to the Illinois Department of
- 22 Transportation is set for 5/15. We're looking for

- 1 bid authorization from the State of Illinois on
- 2 June 12th and to advertise the project for
- 3 construction on June 26th. The June 26th
- 4 advertisement would result in approximately early
- 5 August for a contract award and construction
- 6 commencement.
- 7 MR. PACE: Mr. Burke, I just want to ask you a
- 8 question on one of those dates.
- 9 MR. DAN BURKE: Yes.
- 10 MR. PACE: You mentioned May 15th for final
- 11 plans to IDOT?
- 12 MR. DAN BURKE: I'm sorry if I misstated.
- 13 Prefinals --
- 14 MR. STREICHER: March.
- MR. PACE: I think you mentioned earlier it was
- 16 April for final plans.
- 17 MR. DAN BURKE: Yes, and I apologize. I
- 18 misread the schedule.
- 19 MR. STREICHER: Okay. So April 15th or 17th
- 20 for final plan submittal? Is that -- those dates?
- 21 Is that right?
- MR. DAN BURKE: March 13th for prefinals;

- 1 finals June -- pardon me. June 15 is for finals --
- 2 June 15th for final plan submittal.
- 3 MR. STREICHER: Can we go off the record for a
- 4 minute, please?
- JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Sure. Let's go off
- 6 the record.
- 7 (Whereupon, a discussion was had
- 8 off the record.)
- 9 MR. DAN BURKE: Final submittal 5/15 -- May
- 10 15th.
- 11 MR. VERCRUYSSE: The actual bid opening, do you
- 12 know which letting date it is?
- MR. DAN BURKE: The City of Chicago doesn't
- 14 have fixed letting dates.
- MR. VERCRUYSSE: Okay. That's all I needed.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 MR. DAN BURKE: There will be letting times
- 18 that are available.
- MR. PACE: Your Honor, as you've already
- 20 probably seen now from the petition, this is a --
- 21 quite a large project.
- JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Right.

- 1 MR. PACE: And we understand that, you know,
- 2 this time frame is probably somewhat ambitious. But
- 3 we would like -- you know, obviously we will work as
- 4 hard as we can with the Railroad and the other
- 5 agencies in getting any information that they would
- 6 like in terms of reviewing the plans and so forth.
- 7 So, you know, let's take it one step
- 8 at a time at this point. But I think that -- that we
- 9 can accomplish this if we put our heads together, I
- 10 quess.
- 11 So having said that, I don't know if
- 12 there's any other questions of the Commission or
- 13 Staff or the Railroad.
- 14 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Okay. Mr. Streicher.
- MR. STREICHER: Thank you, Judge.
- 16 On behalf of CSXT I can confirm that
- 17 my client has received the PEA executed by the City
- of Chicago and it will be executed shortly by my
- 19 client and returned. And, that, in reviewing my file
- 20 was a negotiated process as well. So I'm glad that
- 21 that piece is done, that is the contract that will be
- between the City and CSXT to provide for the review

- of the plans which will be forthcoming by my client
- 2 and its consultants as well.
- 3 This is a major project that could
- 4 substantially impact on my client's operation, so I
- 5 understand Mr. Pace's statement that his timetable is
- 6 ambitious for getting my client plans May 15th and
- 7 then having bid authorizations go out less than a
- 8 month from that.
- 9 I mean, certainly, my client will do
- 10 what it can to help expedite that process, but we
- 11 have only received very preliminary plans back in
- 12 April of 2008, which I'm sure have very little
- 13 bearing on what the actual plans are going to be.
- 14 So while we will do what we can,
- 15 I'm -- I just have a concern that we may be a little
- overly ambitious; but we'll try.
- 17 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Mr. Vercruysse.
- MR. VERCRUYSSE: Thank you, your Honor.
- 19 Could you go through as far as your
- 20 construction schedule -- we've gotten to the point
- 21 where you want to commence work in early August. I
- just want to see if there is advance work or other

- 1 things that are taking place that might alleviate
- 2 concerns as far as an aggressive schedule, utility
- 3 relocate work to start first, that sort of thing.
- 4 MR. DAN BURKE: Certainly.
- 5 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Thank you.
- 6 MR. DAN BURKE: Prior the -- the utility
- 7 relocate the Office of Underground Coordination work
- 8 is going out in the next few weeks, the protection of
- 9 existing facilities with the site plans and a
- 10 notation to the utilities to prepare -- prepare that
- 11 work.
- MR. VERCRUYSSE: That would be mid February,
- 13 Mr. Burke? I'm sorry --
- 14 MR. DAN BURKE: Yes.
- MR. VERCRUYSSE: -- so it's starting mid
- 16 February right now.
- 17 MR. DAN BURKE: Yes.
- 18 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Two weeks or so. All right.
- 19 MR. DAN BURKE: In the next two weeks the
- 20 Office of Underground Coordination Protection of
- 21 Existing Facilities release will go out.
- MR. VERCRUYSSE: Okay. Thank you.

- 1 And then after that your -- how the
- 2 project might lay out.
- 3 MR. DAN BURKE: Then after that the -- I mean,
- 4 the onus is on us to complete the design plans to get
- 5 them to the CSXT in a timely manner, turn around and
- 6 review and then -- really, we've done all the advance
- 7 work we can. And then in terms of -- in terms of
- 8 getting it done the next step really is for us to get
- 9 a bid in to getting a general contractor on board and
- 10 then to begin the discussions of track closure and
- 11 entry permits and all that necessary thing.
- 12 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Right.
- MR. DAN BURKE: So we're -- I mean, the process
- is happening. We're doing everything to
- 15 facilitate --
- 16 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Sure. How long do you
- 17 anticipate the construction, the actual work to --
- MR. DAN BURKE: One year.
- 19 MR. VERCRUYSSE: One year. Okay. Able to work
- 20 through the entire winter possibly --
- MR. DAN BURKE: Yes. Yes, we -- you can't
- 22 perform all activities, but we will be able to

- 1 continue working through the winter's time. There
- 2 won't be a winter shutdown.
- 3 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Okay. As far as the current
- 4 cost estimate, the petition that had been submitted
- 5 had us at about 18 million or so. Can you give us
- 6 the date of your latest cost estimate and what we
- 7 stand at currently.
- 8 MR. DAN BURKE: The costs are still being
- 9 finalized as the plans -- as we're proceeding. The
- 10 numbers I've heard in our last design meeting, which
- 11 was last week, was estimated \$23 million for
- 12 construction.
- MR. VERCRUYSSE: 23 million for construction.
- 14 Okay.
- MR. DAN BURKE: Yes.
- 16 And, again, those are being finalized
- 17 and there's been somewhat significant fluctuation in
- 18 construction costs over the period of the last year
- 19 where they were -- there was runaway inflation.
- 20 We're hoping just with the turndown in the economy
- 21 and everything, we'll see much more aggressive bids
- 22 coming in.

- 1 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Sure.
- 2 MR. DAN BURKE: Hopefully, some reconstruction
- 3 in costs. So there's been a variability.
- 4 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Right.
- 5 Okay. The temporary bracing that was
- 6 in place for the piers and all of the other
- 7 structural support work held through the winter so
- 8 far? Everything is...?
- 9 MR. DAN BURKE: The bracings held. The viaduct
- 10 has continued to deteriorate. We've closed it to
- 11 truck traffic right now. It was two lanes in each
- 12 direction. As of two weeks ago, we reduced it to one
- 13 lane in each direction, cars only. All trucks are
- 14 detoured off the structure at this time.
- 15 MR. VERCRUYSSE: The truck detour was just of
- 16 two weeks ago?
- 17 MR. DAN BURKE: Yes.
- 18 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Okay. And your inspection
- 19 intervals are still consistent -- at what time?
- 20 MR. DAN BURKE: Six-month inspection intervals.
- 21 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Okay. Construction agreement
- 22 with the CSX, has that -- I know we've just gotten

- 1 through the preliminary engineering agreement, but
- 2 that would be the next time frame. Has that draft --
- 3 I mean, it's all dependant upon their review of the
- 4 plans. I just know from our other projects we need
- 5 to move that as quickly as we can to try and attain
- 6 your schedule.
- 7 MR. PACE: Right.
- Well, and as you probably know, we've
- 9 been working on developing an agreed upon
- 10 construction agreement with the Railroad -- between
- 11 the Railroad and the City of Chicago in another
- 12 docket and that's proceeding at this point. And,
- 13 hopefully, that work will be able to carry over to
- 14 this docket and we won't need to create a new
- 15 agreement.
- MR. VERCRUYSSE: Thank you.
- 17 Can I defer to Mr. Streicher? Did you
- 18 want to add a point to that or...?
- 19 MR. STREICHER: Yes, I have two points. But
- I'm happy to wait until you're finished.
- 21 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Actually, I'm fine with my
- 22 questions with Mr. Burke. Thank you. But I do need

- 1 to bring up some information relative to the Grade
- 2 Crossing Protection Fund. I can do that now or wait
- 3 till the end.
- 4 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Just give me one
- 5 second. Normally, I thought Mr. Burke was just going
- 6 to give us a brief update. But since he's provided
- 7 us with so much information, I'd like to swear you
- 8 in.
- 9 (Mr. Burke sworn.)
- 10 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Mr. Streicher.
- 11 MR. VERCRUYSSE: I'm fine except for the Grade
- 12 Crossing Protection Fund.
- 13 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Why don't we save
- 14 that for last.
- MR. VERCRUYSSE: That's fine. Thank you.
- 16 MR. STREICHER: There were two things, and I --
- if this sounds negative, I don't mean to because
- 18 Mr. Pace and Mr. Vercruysse and myself have worked
- 19 together on other projects to get them done even
- 20 under crunches, so I'm optimistic about that.
- 21 But one of the other concerns is going
- to final order in this case in June if we're not

- 1 getting the plans till May. That could be
- 2 problematic as well, but I only want to raise that in
- 3 terms of the jumping through hoops that we have to
- 4 do.
- 5 As to the final construction
- 6 agreement, CSXT and the City of Chicago have worked
- 7 together on a number of matters before the Commission
- 8 trying to hammer out a, quote, form, quote, final
- 9 construction agreement. And, in fact, there is one
- 10 now that is undergoing further revision in another
- 11 project. So I hope as well that the final
- 12 construction agreement for this project will benefit
- 13 from all the negotiations we've done ahead of time.
- 14 It should.
- 15 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Go ahead,
- 16 Mr. Vercruysse.
- 17 MR. VERCRUYSSE: One last question, your Honor.
- 18 I'm sorry.
- 19 Referring back to the petition I just
- 20 want to get the time frames. It asks for 36 months
- 21 to complete the project. It's been compressed into
- 22 the one-year schedule, and I'm --

- 1 MR. PACE: Yeah, we'll have to -- maybe that
- 2 was a typo. I'm not sure.
- 3 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Right. We'll clarify that for
- 4 any order or whatever we might draft as a group,
- 5 but...
- 6 MR. PACE: Sure.
- JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Okay. I guess my
- 8 question kind of tags along off of Mr. Streicher's
- 9 and that is in terms of a Commission order, what
- 10 needs to be done by the parties before we get close
- 11 to that point?
- 12 MR. STREICHER: We need a final construction
- 13 agreement executed.
- 14 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Okay.
- MR. STREICHER: Which, if the negotiation of
- 16 the terms is mostly done in other projects, then it
- 17 really, once again, just comes down to the plans in
- 18 this case and an approval of the plans.
- 19 MR. PACE: Could you tell us when you -- at
- 20 this point the agreement has been sent back to you by
- 21 an attorney for the City in that other docket. Do
- 22 you have kind of an idea of when you might be

- 1 responding to that?
- 2 MR. STREICHER: There's another matter pending
- 3 before the Commission where there is currently being
- 4 negotiated a final construction agreement that relied
- on even a prior project. So that we're trying to get
- 6 to the form. And the City has made its comments by
- 7 Mr. Sheil -- S-h-e-i-l -- and that has been not going
- 8 through me this time, but is going directly to the
- 9 Legal Department at CSXT in an effort to expedite it.
- 10 And my understanding is that CSXT Legal is
- 11 communicating directly with Mr. Sheil as to that. So
- 12 that's ongoing.
- 13 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Okay. Thank you.
- 14 Mr. Vercruysse, you had an update or a
- 15 statement regarding the Grade Crossing Protection
- 16 Fund.
- 17 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
- 18 Currently our fund has been fully
- 19 obligated for fiscal year '09 as of late January,
- 20 which has necessitated the comptroller contacting
- 21 IDOT, who pays the bills out for our fund, to put a
- 22 number of projects on hold until the fiscal year '10

- 1 starts July 1st.
- We are moving certain projects
- 3 through, but we have to account for the bills that
- 4 are coming in and what you our monthly balance is for
- 5 the fund. This project has approximately \$2 million
- of Grade Crossing Protection Funds that would be
- 7 associated and recommended by Staff. We would not be
- 8 able to have an order -- or be able to recommended an
- 9 order from Staff until that July 1st given our
- 10 limitations on the funding.
- 11 So it seems that we're close with the
- 12 letting schedule; but dependant upon how the
- 13 construction agreement plays out with the CSX, if
- 14 that's approved and everything is finalized by the
- 15 parties before our fiscal year starts, we might look
- 16 at maybe an interim order to authorize the project
- 17 with a follow-up for the funding, some sort of
- 18 variation. And it's my understanding that the City
- 19 can show that they can cover that \$2 million gap with
- 20 the reimbursable so that they can seek the letting
- 21 schedule and take care of their point of the project.
- 22 But until we get further in the process, that's just

- 1 a worst-case scenario for the Fund at this point.
- JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: I see.
- 3 Anything further, Mr. Pace?
- 4 MR. PACE: Well, yeah, I just wanted to make a
- 5 couple other points. I know Mr. Streicher has worked
- 6 well with us in the past and we're looking forward to
- 7 the same cooperation here. I just want to point out
- 8 that the preliminary plans are scheduled to be sent
- 9 to you -- sent to the Railroad in March. And so it's
- 10 the final plans that would be ready in May.
- 11 And this is a significant project,
- 12 however, it's really where we're going over their
- 13 airspace. And we don't anticipate significant
- 14 disruption of their operations. So I just want to
- 15 mention that, that we think that, you know, once we
- 16 have the form completed and negotiated -- I'm not
- 17 sure if the plans will be of a nature that will
- 18 affect the Railroad's operation to a significant
- 19 degree. That's -- obviously we'll have to see the
- 20 plans. But I'm just -- that's our view at this
- 21 point.
- 22 MR. VERCRUYSSE: I'm sorry. Yes. Can we ask,

- 1 as far as -- what do you anticipate, Mr. Burke, as
- 2 far as -- I thought I had heard track shutdown as one
- 3 of the points of discussion. Do you anticipate with
- 4 the pier work and other falsework that you have to
- 5 put in what sort of impacts you might have to the
- 6 Railroad just so they have an understanding? Or was
- 7 that conveyed in April of 2008 when those other
- 8 preliminary plans were sent?
- 9 MR. DAN BURKE: I apologize. I'm here on
- 10 behalf of the project manager. I believe that was
- 11 conveyed and those things have been discussed. I'm
- 12 not completely up to speed to --
- 13 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Okay. So as far as work
- 14 windows or proximity to tracks or completing --
- MR. DAN BURKE: It's my understanding those --
- 16 proximated track work windows -- shutdowns are always
- 17 part -- they're always part of the construction
- 18 agreement and --
- 19 MR. VERCRUYSSE: But you're not clear on what
- 20 specifically might be a part of this project then?
- 21 MR. DAN BURKE: Well, there's going to be --
- MR. VERCRUYSSE: And it's fine if you aren't.

- 1 I just want to make sure --
- 2 MR. DAN BURKE: Right. Right.
- 3 MR. VERCRUYSSE: -- because I thought I had
- 4 heard closure or track closure or shutdown for time
- 5 frames. And if there are no impacts --
- 6 MR. DAN BURKE: Right.
- 7 MR. VERCRUYSSE: -- like Mr. Pace noted,
- 8 then --
- 9 MR. PACE: Well, I'm not saying no impacts.
- 10 I'm just saying not substantial.
- 11 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Right.
- 12 MR. DAN BURKE: There are limited scope
- 13 impacts. During demolition of the existing structure
- 14 obviously you have to have track -- you have to have
- 15 track closures.
- MR. VERCRUYSSE: Right.
- 17 MR. DAN BURKE: How those are being worked out
- 18 with CSX Operations people, whether we can do it
- 19 during your normal operations and just work in
- 20 between train schedules and then it's all being --
- 21 it's my understanding that it's all -- it's finalized
- in the construction agreement.

- 1 MR. VERCRUYSSE: Right. Okay.
- 2 MR. STREICHER: If I can comment, Judge, on
- 3 that?
- 4 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Yes, you may.
- 5 MR. STREICHER: It would not be unusual for
- 6 there to be some impact on operations -- and the
- 7 construction agreement will deal with that. And
- 8 typically it is more an issue of just approval of the
- 9 plans by CSX and consultants rather than impact on
- 10 operations, especially if it's not substantial.
- 11 The other thing I would ask is that if
- 12 the City could keep me advised of when plans finally
- do go out because this is but one of many projects
- 14 for CSXT. And I can keep my client updated as to
- 15 what the timing would be.
- 16 JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: Sure.
- Okay. Well, is -- with that all in
- 18 mind, I'm thinking probably we need another status
- 19 before...
- 20 MR. PACE: Right. I was going to suggest --
- 21 the plans -- the preliminary plans are estimated to
- 22 go out March 13th.

1	JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: We can go off the
2	record.
3	(Whereupon, a discussion was had
4	off the record.)
5	JUDGE KIRKLAND-MONTAQUE: We can go back on the
6	record.
7	This matter will be continued to
8	Tuesday, April 21st, 2009, at 10:00 a.m. here in
9	Chicago. Thank you.
10	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
11	matter was continued to
12	April 21, 2008, at 10:00 a.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	